

GFF Accountability Scorecard Scoring System

2. COUNTRY PLATFORM	GREEN	YELLOW	RED
2.1 The list of members of the country platform, including the Government focal point who acts as a liaison with all stakeholders, is available on a public website	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The list of members is available on a public website; AND The details of the government focal point for GFF is available on a public website 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The list of members is available on a public website; OR The details of the government focal point for GFF is available on a public website 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The list of members, including the details of the focal point, are not available online
2.2 The roles and responsibilities of the country platform outlined in writing and available on line (eg in a MOU, compact, checklist)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roles and responsibilities of the country platform are available in writing (eg in a MOU, TOR, compact, checklist); AND Roles and responsibilities available on line 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roles and responsibilities of the country platform have been outlined in writing but are not available on line 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Roles and responsibilities are not available in writing
2.3 The country platform members are given the opportunity to contribute to all key GFF documents from the time that the platform was constituted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key documents are shared with the country platform with sufficient time for review. AND Key documents are shared with the country platform sufficiently early in the process for feedback to be incorporated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key documents are shared with the country platform but with insufficient time for review or too late in the process for feedback to be incorporated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not all key documents are shared with the country platform members
2.4 Key GFF documents and reports are made available in a timely manner through a public website	<p>All of the following documents available online within one month of completion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Final investment case including the results framework; Final health financing strategy; Progress reports Financial disbursement data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One of the documents not available on line; OR All documents available online but not within the specified time period (one month of completion) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More than one of the documents is not available on line
2.5 The Country Platform meetings are regular and well attended	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The meetings are regular, as per the TOR AND The meetings reach the quorum as per the TOR 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The meetings are regular OR The meetings meet the quorum 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The meetings are irregular AND The meetings do not meet the quorum
3. CIVIL SOCIETY ENGAGEMENT	GREEN	YELLOW	RED
3.1 The country platform includes civil society representation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The country platform includes 2 civil society representatives including one local CSO AND Civil society representatives are elected by their constituency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The country platform includes 1 civil society representative 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The country platform does not have any civil society representation
3.2 Civil society representative(s) on the country platform seek inputs from and report back to broader civil society	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civil society representative(s) on the country platform regularly seek inputs from AND report back to broader civil society 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civil society representative(s) occasionally engage with broader civil society on issues relating to GFF 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civil society representative(s) do not engage with broader civil society on issues relating to GFF
3.3 A CSO coalition / network has been identified to engage with the country platform and is informed on GFF progress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One CSO coalition has been identified as the lead on GFF; AND This coalition is actively engaged with the country platform and GFF processes as outlined in their TOR 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is more than one CSO coalition and it is not clear which one is leading; OR There is one CSO coalition leading but they are not very engaged 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is no CSO coalition engaging in the GFF processes
3.4 Civil society has an engagement strategy and have mobilised resources for its implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civil Society Engagement Strategy has been completed; AND Resources have been mobilised to implement some or all activities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civil society engagement strategy has been developed (in draft) but no resources have been mobilised for its implementation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Civil society engagement strategy has not been developed

4. DESIGN OF KEY DOCUMENTS	GREEN	YELLOW	RED
4.1 The IF is prioritised based on resources available based on different funding scenarios	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Estimation of resources available have been calculated based on different funding scenarios; AND • The Investment Framework has been prioritised based on these 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There has been some level of prioritisation but not based on a specific resource envelop 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The IF has not been prioritised based on resources available
4.2 The Investment Framework and Health Financing Strategy are accompanied by a costed implementation plan that sets out concrete steps (3 – 5 year)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A costed implementation plan setting out concrete steps has been developed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A costed implementation plan is partially developed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A costed implementation plan is yet to be developed
4.3 The Health Financing Strategy is consistent with the Investment Framework priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The strategies outlined in the Health Financing Strategy are consistent with those in the Investment Framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The strategies outlined in the health Financing are partially consistent with those in the Investment Framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There are considerable inconsistencies between the strategies in the Health Financing Strategy and those in the Investment Framework
4.4 The Project Appraisal Document (PAD) is consistent with the Investment Framework priorities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The strategies outlined in the PAD are consistent with those in the Investment Framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The strategies outlined in the PAD are partially consistent with those in the Investment Framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PAD not aligned to Investment Framework

5. IMPLEMENTATION	GREEN	YELLOW	RED
5.1 Annual progress reports indicate progress against the results framework	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An annual progress report has been developed and it outlines progress against the results framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An annual progress report has been developed but it only partially outlines progress against the results framework 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An annual progress report has not been developed
5.2 The annual work planning process at national level is designed to reflect the priorities of the IF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is an agreed process in place (eg guidelines) to ensure that the annual work planning reflects the priorities of the IF 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is some effort to reflect the priorities of the IF in the annual work planning process but this is not done in a structured way 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no clear process of reflecting the IF priorities in the annual work planning process
5.3 The annual work planning process at sub-national level is designed to reflect the priorities of the IF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is an agreed process in place (eg guidelines) to ensure that the annual work planning reflects the priorities of the IF 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is some effort to reflect the priorities of the IF in the annual work planning process but this is not done in a structured way 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no clear process of reflecting the IF priorities in the annual work planning process
5.4 There is increased government investment in RMNCAH (or in Health if data is not available)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is an increased budget allocation for RMNCAH OR • There is an increased budget allocation for health 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is some evidence of prioritisation of RMNCAH in the public budget eg a new budget line or increased investment in RH commodities 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no evidence of increased allocation for RMNCAH or Health in general
5.5 The Ministry of Health has an agreement with the private sector to provide resources to implement IF priorities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An agreement has been made with the private sector to provide resources (operational, financial or technical) to implement IF priorities (eg supply chain management, medical technology) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Negotiations with the private sector have initiated and are ongoing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussions have started but are still in the exploratory stage
5.6 There is a mechanism in place to ensure that donor funding for RMNCAH is aligned with the investment case (eg pooled fund, joint planning)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A mechanism for ensuring donor alignment with the IF has been agreed and is being implemented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A mechanism for donor alignment is in place but only partially implemented 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no mechanism for ensuring donor alignment with the IF
5.7 GFF Committed Funding is released in a timely manner and is utilised	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GFF Funding is released on a timely manner AND • At least 80% of committed GFF funds are spent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GFF funding is released but with some delays AND • At least 50% of committed funds are spent 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GFF funding is not released OR • GFF funding is released but less than 50% of it is spent.